
By recalculating the data of the ultrasonographic results compared to the arthroscopic diagnosis (the usual means of diagnosis, i.e., the gold standard), represented in Table 1 in the original article, a statistical failure was found. The sensitivity and specificity of the tendinosis, full, and partial thickness tears were mixed up, as seen in the 2×2 tables and calculations at the right. The calculation of the humeral degeneration in Table 2 in the original article was correctly calculated.

The corrected calculation of the sensitivity and specificity of tendinosis, full, and partial thickness tears are shown in the tables at the right. The corrections do not affect the conclusions and the high validity of the ultrasonographic findings for supraspinatus pathology can be confirmed. The specificity, however, is higher than the sensitivity for these findings. These findings correlate with other studies, which compared ultrasonography findings with a gold standard in supraspinatus disorders.1,2

Görmeli and colleagues declined to respond.
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